

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/752,089                           | 12/29/2000      | David J. Evans       | NC30310                 | NC30310 4021            |  |
| 26343 7                              | 7590 04/16/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
| STEVEN A. SHAW                       |                 |                      | PHUNKULH, BOB A         |                         |  |
| NOKIA, INC.<br>6000 CONNECTION DRIVE |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| MD 1-4-755                           |                 |                      | 2661                    | 4                       |  |
| IRVING, TX 75039                     |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004 | DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ·   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summers   | 09/752,089   | EVANS, DAVID J.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Bob A. Phunkulh  | 2661  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Dec</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>  | action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicat<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  | ate Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/752,089

Art Unit: 2661

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: please insert period "." at the end of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cam et al. (US 5,875,192), hereinafter Cam.

Regarding claim 1, Cam discloses a system for detection of error conditions when passing a cell stream at a particular transmission rate from a first location to a second location over multiple links, the system comprising:

a first unit at the first location coupled to one end of each of a plurality of low capacity data links for receiving the cell stream and inverse multiplexing the cell stream into frames that are transmitted over at least two trained data links selected from the plurality of low capacity data links that are set to active status (first IMA assembly having an input coupled to an incoming cell stream from a first ATM layer device, see col. 2 lines 63 to col. 3 line 4); and



Art Unit: 2661

a second unit at the second location coupled to the other end of each of the plurality of low capacity data links for receiving the frames from each of the active trained data links and multiplexing the frame to produce the cell stream, wherein the first unit inserts at least one detection cell into each frame prior to transmission and the second unit analyzes the received detection cell to determine if an error condition exits (second IMA assembly having an output coupled to a second ATM layer device, a plurality of links coupling the first IMA assembly to the second IAM assembly, see col. 3 lines 1-24 and figure 2).

Regarding claim 4, Cam discloses a method for enhancing error detection in a data stream transmitted from a first unit to a second unit, the method comprising:

establishing a desired cell size for a detection cell and a frequency of insertion into the data stream (col. 3 lines 3-8);

determining a known signal that will be part of the detection cell;

inserting the detection cell with the known signal into the data stream being transmitted from the first unit to the second unit (col. 3 lines 8-11); and

analyzing the received detection cell at the second unit to determine if an error condition exists (see col. 3 lines 16-24).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/752,089

Art Unit: 2661

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cam in view of Sathe et al. (US 5617417), hereinafter Sathe.

Regarding claim 2, Cam fails to explicitly discloses at least one data link selected from the plurality of low capacity data links that is trained and set to idle status, wherein the first unit and the second unit switch to use the trained idle data link to replace any one of the active trained data links that has failed and wherein the status of the idle data link is changed to active, thereby avoiding system down time due to line failure.

Sathe, on the other hand, teaches at least one data link selected from the plurality of low capacity data links that is trained and set to idle status, wherein the first unit and the second unit switch to use the trained idle data link to replace any one of the active trained data links that has failed and wherein the status of the idle data link is changed to active, thereby avoiding system down time due to line failure (see col. 8 lines 8-24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to includes the teaching of Sathe in the system taught by Cam for providing fault tolerance communication links.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cam in view of Counterman (US 6,222,858).

Application/Control Number: 09/752,089

Art Unit: 2661

Cam fails to explicitly disclose the trained data links operate at an optimal rate.

Counterman, on the other hand, teaches it is well known in the art (see col. 4 lines 16-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to implement the teaching of Counterman in the system taught by Cam in order to comply with the known and widely accepted rate of communication.

### Conclusion

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bob A. Phunkulh** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8251.** The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

supervisor Douglas W. Olms, can be reach on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

for this group is (703) 872-9314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bob A. Phunkulh

TC 2600

Art Unit 2661

April 13, 2004

Page 6